

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

DOUG GREISEN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

JON HANKEN, an individual, JOHN DOES 1-5, and CITY OF SCAPPOOSE, an Oregon municipality,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:14-cv-01399-SI

JOINT ALTERNATE DISPUTE
RESOLUTION REPORT

Pursuant to LR 16-4(d), the parties to all cases, unless exempt, are required to confer regarding ADR and file this report within one-hundred fifty (150) days of the initiation of a lawsuit. This report is submitted in compliance with LR 16-4(d).

1. Have counsel held settlement discussions with their clients and the opposing party?

Yes No

If not, provide an explanation:

2. The parties propose: (*check one of the following*)

(a) That this case be referred to a neutral of their choice for ADR not sponsored by the court pursuant to LR 16-4(e)(1).

(b) That the court refer this case to mediation using a Court-sponsored mediator or staff mediator. (*See LR 16-4(f) for Court-sponsored mediation procedures.*)

The parties seek a Court mediator because:

- (c) ADR may be helpful at a later date following completion of:
- (d) The parties believe the court would be of assistance in preparing for ADR by:
- (e) The parties do not believe that any form of ADR will assist in the resolution of this case.
- (f) Other: Counsel for the parties believe it would assist with resolution of this case for the Court to order a settlement conference with a Judge pursuant to LR 16-4(e)(2).

Dated: January 29, 2015

By: *s/John Ostrander*
JOHN D. OSTRANDER, OSB NO. 87394
Plaintiff's Attorney

By: *s/Karen M. Vickers*
KAREN M. VICKERS, OSB NO. 913810
Defendants' Attorney